RICE UNIVERSITY

Lions, Tigers, and Bears, Sky High!

by

Andrea Manning

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

Master of Architecture

APPROVED, THESIS COMMITTEE:

Clover Lee, Assistant Professor,

Director, Architecture

Albert Pope, Associate Professor,

Architecture

John J. Casbarian, Professor, Associate

Dean, Architecture

HOUSTON, TEXAS

MAY 2007

ABSTRACT

Lions, Tigers, and Bears, Sky High!

by

Andrea Manning

The vertical zoo is a new zoo typology that rethinks both the organizational strategy of the traditional urban zoo and the tower building typology. By stacking the exhibits vertically the zoo program pushes exhibit organization in a new direction, providing opportunities for experiences not possible in traditional urban zoos. In addition, vertical stacking of the program is more efficient, taking advantage of the characteristic behaviors of heat, water and light to guide exhibit group organization. Furthermore, the verticality of the zoo program enables the zoo to become a more visual presence in an already dense urban environment. The new spatial organization of the zoo is accomplished through a reconfiguration of the systems integral to a traditional tower: the centralized core, the repetitive floor plate, and the nonspecific skin system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To Greg, without whom this would have been impossible.

To my director, Clover Lee, who's support and dedication and patience meant everything to the development of this project.

To my dad, Jim, who refused to come down here and get the degree for me.

To my mom, Marlynn, who got me where I am today.

To Nick, who always believed in the project.

To Albert, who always gave the best advice at just the right time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Project introduction | 1 |
|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Zoo in the city | 3 10 11 |
| | 13 14 |
| Programmatic organization | 15 |
| Circulation Exhibit plates | 17 20 22 23 |
| Project boards | 24 |
| Research boards | 41 |


Modern society lives on top of nature.

Charlene Spretnak

Zoos are urban institutions. Originally parks on the city edge urban dwellers could visit when city life became overwhelming, it was a but a short time before zoos were enveloped in rapidly sprawling urban development.

For centuries zoos have provided unique opportunities for education, entertainment and research not found elsewhere in urban centers. In the last century, as knowledge of the natural kingdom changed and grew, zoos responded. Owned and operated by municipal governments, the initial responses of zoos to change were conservative. Today zoos are operated by private and not-for-profit companies and must compete for money and attention within urban and suburban settings. To woo visitors, zoos are changing in ways more radical than ever, creating and simulating entire environments into which the zoo visitor is immersed.






Situated in an extremely dense urban environment, the vertical zoo examines how the most successful exhibit type to date, the immersion exhibit, can continue to push the evolution of the zoological institution through stacking.

The history of the zoo: 3 exhibit types

How zoos have changed in time is reflected through the evolution of the exhibit typology. Exhibit evolutions are systemic and alter the zoo at three scales:


- 1. the specific exhibit
- 2. the grouping or organization of multiple related exhibits
- 3. the organization of exhibit groups on the scale of the zoo itself. In the thousands of years that zoos have been urban institutions, there have been to this time only three polemical shifts in the evolution of the exhibit typology.



1 Elevational exhibit

The first milestone, the elevational exhibit, occurred in 1828 with the opening of the London Zoo. The elevational exhibit is defined by the perception of the exhibit through its frontal elevation. This scheme originally placed animals in a neutral environment, separated from the observer by an obvious barrier (i.e. iron bars or a visible moat). In the elevational exhibit scheme the animal and the observer have a one-to-one relationship. Elevational exhibits were often arranged linearly and according to taxonomy. These exhibit groups were further arranged according to animal family similarities.






2 Perimeter exhibit

The second milestone, the perimeter exhibit, first opened in the late 1960's. This exhibit type did not replace the elevational exhibit but was added to the repertoire of zoo exhibit types. Like theater in the round, this type of exhibit enables observers to view animals from several different perspectives located around the exhibit enclosure, with views from above, below, over or under.

This scheme places pairs or small groups of animals in a naturalistic setting either indoors or out, separated from the observer by artifice and invisible barrier such as height or hidden electrical wire. In many cases perimeter exhibits were and are arranged according to country of origin. Groups of exhibits arranged by country of origin are often further organized with complimentary climatic zones adjacent to one another.






3 Immersion exhibit

In the early 1990's, the immersion exhibit scheme was added to the zoo exhibit catalog. The focus of the immersion exhibit is to recreate the natural setting and behavior of the animal inhabitants. Different from the previous two exhibit schemes, the immersion scheme locates the observer within the animal enclosure, looking outwards to the animal and exhibit borders beyond. The scheme places typical species groups in a setting reliant upon both natural and artificial ground condition and vegetation, again separated from the observer artifice and invisible barriers.

Similar to the perimeter scheme, immersion schemes are typically arranged according to country of origin, but require a larger area than the previous exhibit types. Space permitting the immersion scheme may occur in either an indoor or an outdoor setting.




Of the exhibit types, the immersion exhibit scheme has been the most successful based on the following criteria:

- 1. animal health and welfare,
- providing the zoo visitor with an experience that is entertaining and completely engaging,
- and providing an educational experience, enabling the visitor to observe animals behaving in as natural a way as possible in an urban environment.

However, this model of immersion exhibit is difficult to implement in dense cities because of its large area requirement.

Zoo in the city

Most zoos rely on nothing but a very thin line of park space to both delineate and protect the zoo from its urban environment. This thin park line also means the zoo has little to no room to grow outwards and thus must seek other ways in which the immersion exhibit experience can be provided.



While the north and east edges of the zoo are buffered by the Parc de la Ciutadella. the south and west edges are bounded by the neighborhood. La Vila Olimpica. A 4m stone fence and zoo exhibit buildngs are the only barriers between the zoo and the adjacent urban environment. The audio and visual presence of the city is apparent continuously along the eastern edge of the zoo.

Zoological networks

Many zoos have specialized and institutionalized into zoological networks in order to find the space that enables the network now, instead of the independent zoo, to provide the full immersive experience. The network is composed of newer zoo typologies that function alongside the traditional urban zoo:

the wild animal park

the regional park

the endangered species park

and the aquarium.

These zoological institutions are then united to form zoological networks, examples include CRES (Conservation and Research of Endangered Species) that includes the San Diego Zoo and Wild Animal Park, and the WCS (Wildlife Conservation Society) network that includes the Bronx Zoo, three other New York City zoos and an aquarium.



One of such networks is Zoo Net, Tokyo's zoological network. The vertical zoo is situated in very dense and very active Shibuya Ward, in Tokyo. Zoo Net includes five other zoo institutions:


- a traditional zoo
- a regional zoo
- an aquarium
- and two endangered species zoos.

Zoo Net is active in preservation and conservation efforts with other zoos worldwide. The vertical zoo is the new urban component for Zoo Net, much like the Bronx, London, or San Diego Zoos.

The future of the network: the vertical zoo

The vertical zoo is a new zoo typology intended to function alongside other zoological institutions in a zoo network.

- The vertical zoo rethinks the immersion exhibits spatial orientation and the relationships between various exhibits by stacking the exhibits and exhibit groups vertically.
- Taking advantage of vertical space enables the zoo to maintain an urban site, which is important for visitor accessibility and crucial for a continued zoo presence in the city.
- By enclosing immersion exhibits in an indoor setting, the zoo is able to recreate the many varied animal environments more accurately.
- With the addition of the vertical zoo, a zoological network can redistribute its program more advantageously, improving exhibit space in all of the zoological institutions within the network.



Programmatic organization

The vertical stacking of the immersion exhibits is a more efficient spatial model. Although the vertical zoo's site is only 2.4 acres, 80% of the vertical zoo's area is dedicated to exhibit space. This can be compared to traditional zoos where exhibit space is typically around 10% of the total site area.


Vertical stacking of the immersion exhibits is also more energy efficient, taking advantage of heat rising and water falling. To this effect the exhibit program is organized according to bioclimatic zone. The immersion zones are then stacked in terms of the needs for more or less heat, more or less water, and more or less light. For example, in the vertical zoo, the Montane and Tundra bioclimatic zones that require cooler temperatures and less light are at the bottom of the tower, while the Desert Chaparral zone that requires significantly more light, much warmer ambient temperatures, and less water is at the top.

































Structural organization


The bioclimatic zones are supported by a system of distributed structural cores that continue to different heights through the building and accommodate the different loading conditions and diverse spatial configurations of the various climatic zones. These cores utilize a dynagrid structure, a dynamic grid not uniform in aperture, to create super columns. These super columns require less structural steel, can more adequately support uneven loading conditions, and enable more light to move unimpeded into the building. Additionally, these cores enclose the intense mechanical support the zoo program requires. Further, the dynagrid of these cores branches out to help form the framework for the secondary structure that directly supports the exhibit armature and visitor circulation.








The cores utilize a dynagrid structure, a dynamic grid not uniform in aperture, to create super columns. These columns travel to different heights through the building and at moments, branch out to form the secondary structure that directly supports the exhibit platforms and the circulation.











Circulation


The zoo program requires multiple threads of circulation to occur and interact simultaneously. To accomplish this, the vertical zoo utilizes a circulation system consisting of a fast primary element and a slow secondary element. The primary circulation routes occur in tandem with the distributed structural cores. Each of these cores supports an elevator bank that ascends to different levels within the tower. These cores move people quickly to any of two types of destinations within the tower: transfer floors or intermediate floors.

Transfer floors are distinct from the bioclimatic zones and house the non-exhibit program of the zoo. These floors function as lobbies and support space. Here can be found cafés and retail boutiques, as well as staff administrative offices, education space and related facilities.

Intermediate floors are much smaller and are located within the bioclimatic immersion zones. These floors serve as launching platforms from which visitors move directly into a bioclimatic zone.


The secondary circulation route is accessible from both the transfer floors and the intermediate floors and consists of a series of catwalks, ramps, stairs, and escalators that move visitors among and through the immersion exhibits within the bioclimatic zones. Accessible from the secondary circulation are viewing platforms and short paths that take advantage of the vertical orientation of the space and enable visitors viewing vantage points that are typically not found in traditional zoos.

The structure and primary circulation are combined in 9 mega columns that ascend to different heights through the tower.



Relationship of mega column height to light penetration and filtration through the tower.


Within each dynagird mega column is an elevator bank that functions as part




The secondary circulation is a one way path that winds downwards through each of the biodimate zones, with entrance and exit points at each of the three transfer floors and the small intermediate platforms that occur at the upper termination point of each elevator.


Exhibit plates

The exhibit platforms act as framework for the groundwork and vegetation that will later fill out the exhibit space. Conceived as plates that overlay a steel skeletal frame, exhibit platforms may become deep to create steep slopes for what will become mountainous terrain, as seen in the Montane bioclimate zone, or diversely may be thin with upturned edges acting as shallow trays to eventually hold soft ground matter like sand or mud. Exhibit platforms are not designed to be specific to an animal inhabitant, but rather to provide a substrate that can support the bioclimatic zone and the conditions typical to that zone in which it is located.





The exhibit platforms are contained by a double layer skin consisting of a glass exterior and wire mesh interior. This skin regulates the interior environment, as well as to determine what can be seen from within the exhibits looking out, and from outside looking into the tower. These conditions are achieved by alternating the arrangement of the glass and mesh components of the skin or by completely removing one of the components, such as the glass, leaving only a mesh exterior. Inoperable skin occurs in climatic zones that are incompatible with Tokyo's native environment, such as the Desert Chaparral zone. Operable skin occurs in climatic zones that are maintainable with exposure to Tokyo's climate. In the zones where the skin is operable, the exterior of the skin would require more technical support, and the skin's armature would appear heavier when viewed from within the inside. In certain zones the glazing of the skin will pull in entirely, leaving only the mesh along the surface of the tower.




Project boards

The vertical zoo is a new zoo typology that rethinks the spatial orientation of the immersion exhibit within the individual exhibit and between the groups of exhibits, the bioclimatic zones. By stacking the exhibits vertically, the zoo program is more efficient, taking advantage of the movement of heat, water, and light to guide bioclimatic zone organization - pushing exhibit organization in a new direction. The verticality of the vertical zoo tower enables the zoo program to become a more visual presence in an already dense urban environment.



The history of the zoo: 3 exhibit types



150 years ago the word 'zoo' first appears in the OED


City when a warp or which is described in passed, on the process of a passed in the process of an appearance of a passed passed in the passed of a passed pa


Elevational Exhibit














from bottom to top: site board, section board, plans board. Original dimensions 96" x 36".



































Research boards


The tower + the zoo

EXHIBITS + ORGANIZATION in:



tounded in 1899

ENTERTAINMENT EDUCATION RESEARCH CONSERVATION





265 acres -133 soccer fields


San Diego Zoo

founded in 1916

ENTERTAINMENT EDUCATION RESEARCH CONSERVATION









The San Diego zoo weaves along valley indges in a lush park near the summit of a large hill. The elevation change and the dense park surrounding buffer the zoo entirely from the nearby highway and Hilliams in registromo


| | Zoo Strale: Relative density and of space optic to people require | Zoo Relative density | Zoo Scale Absolute | Number of Animals Species | Number of Animals Individual | Number of * Exhibits Approx | Number of * Exhibits Themed | humber of * Exhibits Types theat forein head | Percent enclosed * exhib structure Temps low-range | Spending - | Number of Visitors Annually | Number of City Residents | Organi zational Strategy | Circulation apportuni ties |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| San Diego Zoe 1916 | 4000anmii 4000 ppl 100 acres 64 acres | 66 * More than city | 100 acres - 50 soccer fields | 85Q | 4000 | 100 | 4 - 145 | Elevation: 80% Perimeter: 62 Interior: 8% | in avg low sof range 26 deg | spending 20 interactive 2 | a million | 1.2 million | species type - environment | |

San Diego Wild Animal Park

1972 ENTERTAINMENT EDUCATION RESEARCH CONSERVATION








e San Diego Wild Animal Park encompasses 1800 acres of land in a rough i, and mountainous region north east of the city of San Diego Adjacent to ie Wild Animal Park, the land in the walleys has been reserved primarily fo


| | Zou Scale Relative deni ami al pav rom | | Zoo Relative density | Zoo Scale Absolute | Number of Animals Species | Number of Animals. Individual | Number of * Exhibits: Approx. | Number of * Exhibits: Themed | Exhibits Types | Percent enclosed * exhib structure Temps low-range | Spending - Interactive | Number of Visitors Annually | Number of City Residents | Organi zational Strategy | opportuni- ties |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| San Diego Wild Animal Park 1972 | 3500 annil 1800 acres | 1500 ppi 16acres | 3.3 x more than city | ISOD acres « 906 socrer freids | 400 | 3500 | 90 | 7.234 | Elevation 53% Perimeter 33% Interior-13% | avg low- so F range: 28 deg | spending-15 interactive 2 | 2 million | 12 million | type • environment | walking train ballon shuttle |


SeaWorld

100-1ded in 1964

ENTERTAINMENT EDUCATION RESEARCH CONSERVATION








he San Diego zoo weaves along valley ridges in a lush park near the summit of a Jame hill. The aloustion change and the dense park surrountion by flar


| Zoo Scale Relative density are: all pace each it of people recure | Zon Retarive density | Zon Scale: Absolute | Number of Animals Species | Number of Animals Ind-vidual | Number of * Exhibits: Approx | Echibits | | exhib structure | Spending • | Number of Visitors Annually | Number of City Residents | Organi zational Strategy | Circulation apportion ties |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 20,000anml 20,000ppl 189 acres 120acres | 180 x more than city | 189 acres • 95 soccer fields | 550 | 20,000 | 20 | 14 • 70% | Elevation: 70% Perimeter 25% Inter-or 10% | 30% avg low 50 F range: 28 deg | spending 23 nieractive s | | i a million | species type | walking |


London Zoo

rounded in 1828

ENTERTAINMENT EDUCATION RESEARCH CONSERVATION







The London Zoo is buffered on all sides by Regents Park. Two public thoroughlares bisect the condon Zoo, the Outer Ciride road that loops Regents Park, and the walking paths along either side of Regents Canal. The Zoo is connected across the Outer Ciride via subweys, and has stars that descend


| Zuo Scale Relative density and dispersions follower reuse | Zoo Relative density | Zoo Scale. Absolute | Number of Animals Species | Animals | Number of * Exhibits Approx | Exhibits | Exhibits: Types | | Spending • | Number of City Residents | Organi zational Strategy | Circularion opportuni- ties |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|----------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 5000 anmil 5000 ppi 30 acres 2625 acres | 490 k more than city | 36 acres - 19 soccer fields | 650 | 1000 | 40 | 4 - 10% | Elevation: 75% Perimeter: 15% Interior, 10% | 7 5% avg low: 35 F range 35 ded | spending a interactive O | 7.5 million | type | walking |

Fort Worth Zoo

founded in 1919

ENTERTAINMENT EDUCATION

CONSERVATION





| Zoo Scale: Relative density am. of spaceous folloops review | Zon Relative density | Zoo Scale. Absolute | Number of Animals: Species | Number of Animals Individual | Number of * Exhibits Approx | Echibits | Exhibits Types | Percent enclosed * exhib structure Temps low-range | Spending • | Number of Visitors Annually | Number of City Residents | Organi zational Strategy | Circulation opportunities |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|---|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| soco anmi soco ppi 68 acres 90 acres | 158 k more than city | 68 acres - 34 soccer fields | 400 | 1000 | 25 | 10 + 40% | Elevation: 50% Perimeter 12% Inter:or 20% | azti avg low 43 F range 42 deg | spending 9 interactive ! | 12 million | 535,000 | environment | walking |


Zoo Barcelona

founded in 1892

ENTERTAINMENT EDUCATION RESEARCH








While the north and east edges of the zoo are buffered by the Parcide Ia Cuitadella, the south and west edges are bounded by the neighborhood, co Villa Climpica. A 4m stone fence and zoo exhibit buildings are the only bar


| Zoo Scale: Relative density and a sace equit of people require | Zon Relative density | Zoo Scale. Absolute | Number of Animals: Species | Number of Animals Individual | Number of * Exhibits Approx | Exhibits | Exhibits, Types | Percent enclosed * exhib structure Temps low-range | Spending - | Number of City Residents | Organi cat onal Strategy | Circulation apportunities |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|---|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 7500 anmi 7500 ppi 35 acres - 17acres | 225.5 x more than city | 35 acres • taspecer fields | 400 | /500 | 80 | 5 - 6% | Elevation 68 /5% Perimeter: 25% Interior 125% | ion avg low 30 F range 54 deg | spending 9 interactive 2 | i.6 million | distributed | walking |


Los Angeles Zoo

ENTERTAINMENT EDUCATION

founded in 1964 CONSERVATION







The densely wooded park surrounding the entire zoo perimeter buffers the zoo and isolates it completely from the adjacent highway and city.

Zoo Scale
Relative density
arr: 3/px+4qx+3/pope-4
Los Angeles Zoo | 1200 armii | 1200 ppl

Zoo Relative Zoo Scale density Absolute 8.6 x more 113 acres -

e An Sp rrelds Number of Num Animals: Exhi Individual App

nber of * Number of * bits: Exhibits rox. Themed


f Number of Ethibits Types
Brisis Promet Fano
Elevation, 88%
Perimeter 4%
Interior: 4%


Percent enclosed * 1/4 structure: Si Temps low-range in 4% signs of the structure in the st

Venues Number of Num
Spending Visitors City
Interactive Annually Resspending to 13 million 8.2 n
interactive 3

organi circi zational oppi Strategy ties distributed wall shut

ENTERTAINMENT EDUCATION RESEARCH CONSERVATION







| Zoo Scale: Relative density and of your equit of people require | Zoo Relative density | Zoo Scale: Absolute | Number of Animals Species | Animals: | Number of * Exhibits Approx | Echibits | Exhibits Types | Percent enclosed * exhib structure Temps low-range | Spending • | Number of Visitors Annually | Number of City Residents | Organi zational Strategy | Circulation opportun- ties |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 4500 anmt 4500 ppl 55 acres 25 acres | is 4 x more than city | ss acres - as soccer fields | 600 | 4500 | 45 | 4 - 8% | Elevation: 67% Perimeter: 18% Interior, 10 % | avg low 41 F range 54 deg | spending 9 interactive t | 15 million | : million | type | walking |

La Ménagerie du Jardin des Plantes

rounded in 1794


ENTERTAINMENT





Zub Shale 200 Number of Nu

Case study analysis



Zoos are urban institutions. Originally intended to be parks on the city edge to which urban dwelfers could visit when the city became to much it was a but a short time before zoos were enveloped in more rapidly sprawling urban develop.

For tertimes, soon here provided un our apportunities for education elettrical menth and research of found eleterities in tubulin certeits. Throughout time as involvinged of the natural singstom dranged and given soon responsed. Dispraid municipal institution soon shall response to drange were alleting consensation to found in a consensation of the provided of scredeled soos are operated by private and notificing private consensations and the provided of the private and screde of t

It has been over 40 years since the last major zoo reform in a modern instory that spans only 200 years. This analysis investigates the zoo in its urban contex quantitatively and qualitatively, seeking to understand what the relationships as if there are any between zoos and the crites that house them. Further, this analysis beging to unsertiable the hatter of zoos themselves.

 how regional, social and cultural concepts of what is natural, wild, and exolic have influenced the construct of zoos, espicially in their planar arrangement, animal exhibit space, and the type of interaction in which visitors can expect to participate.

 zoo exhibit typology, specifically in how they currently reinforce the human nature dission, and how that division may be subserted.

om this information, I hope to gain an understanding that will lead to the esign of a new zoo typology. The new zoo will reflect both its urban locale, as old for the hope of the hope of the association of the second product of the second p












eas province or 200 h
selection but helps
on team out
from out
fro










ZOO APEA EDUIVALENT URBAN URBAN ANNUAL ZOO ZOO ANMAL EXHIBIT EXHIBIT AND COUNT COUNT COUNT LOW'F TYPE TYPE TYPE EXHIBIT EXHIBI





BIBLIOGRAPHY

Barcelona

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barcelona

Bronx

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bronx

Bronx Zoo

http://www.bronxzoo.com/

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bronx_Zoo

aerial image:

http://wikimapia.org/#y=40850632&x=-73877392&z=14&l=0&m=a&v=2

CRES (Conservation and Research of Endangered Species) http://cres.sandiegozoo.org

- Cronon, William. "The Trouble with Wilderness; or Getting Back to the Wrong Nature." Uncommon Ground: Rethinking the Human Place in Nature, New York, NY: W.W. Norton & Co, 1995, 69 90.
- Cronon, William. "Introduction: In Search of Nature." Uncommon Ground:
 Rethinking the Human Place in Nature, New York, NY: W.W. Norton & Co,
 1995 23 68.
- Eaton, R. L. "The Animal Parks: The New and Valuable Biological Resource." BioScience, Vol. 21, No. 15 1 Aug. 1979: 810-811.

Fort Worth

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fort_Worth

Fort Worth Zoo

http://www.fortworthzoo.com/

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fort_Worth_Zoo

aerial image:

http://wikimapia.org/#y=32722518&x=-97357653&z=16&l=0&m=a&v=2

Hancocks, David. A Different Nature: the Paradoxical World of Zoos and Their Uncertain Future. Los Angeles: University of California P, 2001.

Hanson, Elizabeth. Animal Attractions: Nature on Display in American Zoos Princeton: Princeton UP, 2002.

Houston

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Houston

Houston Zoo

http://www.houstonzoo.org/

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Houston Zoo

aerial image:

http://wikimapia.org/#y=29713234&x=-95391331&z=17&l=0&m=a&v=1

Howeler, Eric. Skyscraper: Vertical Now. Universe, 2004.

Kloft, Ellen, and Johann Eisele. High Rise Manual. Birkhauser, 2004.

Kohl, Jon. "Zoos behind the Wild Facade." International Journal of Wilderness os 10 (2004).

Le Ménagerie du Jardin des Plantes

http://www.leszoosdanslemonde.com/html/zoo_monde/europe/zoofrance/paris/paris_menagerie/paris_menagerie.htm

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jardin_des_Plantes

aerial image:

http://wikimapia.org/#y=48845306&x=2360623&z=17&l=0&m=a&v=1

London

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/London

London Zoo

http://www.zsl.org/london-zoo/

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/London Zoo

aerial image:

http://wikimapia.org/#y=51534931&x=-154688&z=16&l=0&m=a&v=2

Los Angeles

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Los_angeles

Los Angeles Zoo

http://www.lazoo.org/

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Los_Angeles_Zoo

aerial image:

http://www.wikimapia.org/#y=34149682&x=-118292306&z=16&l=0&m= &v=1

Mazur, Nicole A., and Tim W. Clark. "Zoos and Conservation: Policy Making and Organizational Challenges." Yale School of Forestry & Environmental Studies Bulletin os 105: 185-201.

Paris

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paris

Riley, Terence, and Guy Nordenson. Tall Buildings. Museum of Modern Art, 2003.

Robinson, Michael H. "The Zoo that is Not: Education for Conservation." Conservation Biology, Vol. 3, No. 3 Sept. 1989: 213-215.

Ross, David. Pamphlet Architecture #24: Some Among Them are Killers. New York, New York: Princeton Architectural P, 2003.

San Diego

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/San_diego

San Diego Zoo

www.sandiegozoo.org

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/San_Diego_Zoo

aerial image:

San Diego Wild Animal Park

www.sandiegozoo.org/wap/index.html

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/San _Diego_Wild_Animal_Park aerial image:

http://www.wikimapia.org/#y=33097761&x=-116999631&zo=1&l=0&m= &spnx=0.008592&spny=0.005932

Sanes, Ken. "Artificial Landscapes." Welcome to Transparency. 1996. 27 Dec. 2005 http://www.transparencynow.com/index.html.

SeaWorld San Diego

http://www.seaworld.com/SWC/default.aspx

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SeaWorld_San_Diego

aerial image:

http://wikimapia.org/#y=32765255&x=-117226428&z=16&l=0&m=a&v=2

Shibuya

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shibuya http://www.city.shibuya.tokyo.jp/eng/index.html http://www.japan-guide.com/e/e3007.html http://www.tokyoessentials.com/shibuya.html

Skyscraper Page

<www.skyscraperpage.com>.

Slater, Candace. "Amazonia as Edenic Narrative." Uncommon Ground:
Rethinking the Human Place in Nature, New York, NY: W.W. Norton & Co, 1995, 114 - 131.

Spirn, Anne Whiston. "Constructing Nature: the Legacy of Fredrick Law Olmstead." Uncommon Ground: Rethinking the Human Place in Nature, New York, NY: W.W. Norton & Co, 1995, 91 - 113.

Tokyo

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tokyo http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Wards_of_Tokyo

WCS (Wildlife Conservation Society) http://www.wcs.org

Walters, Michael. "Trading Spaces for a Better Zoo Animal Welfare." Editorial. 11 Oct. 2002.

ZSL (Zoological Society of London)

http://www.zsl.org/

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zoological_Society_of_London

Zoo Barcelona

http://www.zoobarcelona.com/ZOO_Barcelona/English/English.asp aerial image:

http://www.wikimapia.org#y=41386501&x=2188597&z=16&l=0&m=a&v=1

ZooNet

http://www.tokyo-zoo.net/english/ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ueno Zoo

IMAGE BIBLIOGRAPHY

Elevational Exhibit image L.P. Hartely, 1958

Immersion Exhibit image

Poole, Robert M. "Heartbreak on the Serengeti." National Geographic, Feb. 2006. Photos by Randy Olsen

Perimeter Exhibit image

http://www.flickr.com/photo_zoom.gne?id=85917502&size=o

Project proposal base image

http://download.krazyletter.com.nyud.net:8090/cg/index.php?id=1